

Literature cited

A. in order of appearance in the talk by A. Treves in the webinar posted at https://faculty.nelson.wisc.edu/treves/Coexistence_panel_2023.php (note that all references with Treves in the author list are free online at <https://faculty.nelson.wisc.edu/treves/publications.php>

1. Treves, A., J. T. Bruskotter, and L. M. Elbroch, 2024. Evaluating fact claims accompanying policies to liberalize the killing of wolves, peer-reviewed chapter TBA peer-reviewed chapter TBA In press. Alpha Wildlife Publications, Canada. https://faculty.nelson.wisc.edu/treves/pubs/Evaluate%20fact%20claims%20about%20killing%20wolves_2024.pdf
2. Bradley et al. 2015. Effects of wolf removal on livestock depredation recurrence and wolf recovery in Montana, Idaho, and Wyoming. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 79: 1337–1346.
3. COPE = Committee on Publication Ethics <https://publicationethics.org/> and Krausman 2022. Improving the journal of wildlife management: A response to the perspectives of Johnson et al. (2021) and could et al. (2021). *The Journal of Wildlife Management* 86: e22167. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.22167>.
4. Santiago-Avila et al. 2018. Killing wolves to prevent predation on livestock may protect one farm but harm neighbors. *PLoS One* 13: e0189729 /10.1371/journal.pone.0189729.
5. Allison et al. 2016. Reproducibility: A tragedy of errors. *Nature* 530: 27-29. doi:10.1038/530027a.
6. Krofel, M., R. Černe, and K. Jerina, 2011. Effectiveness of wolf (*canis lupus*) culling as a measure to reduce livestock depredations. *Acta Silvae et Ligni* 95: 11-22.
7. Treves, A., M. Krofel, and J. McManus, 2016. Predator control should not be a shot in the dark. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 14: 380-388. Do not overlook Webpanel 1 which explains why so many studies of predator control have been unreliable because of design flaws and biases.
8. Santiago-Avila, F. J., A. M. Cornman, and A. Treves, 2018. Killing wolves to prevent predation on livestock may protect one farm but harm neighbors. *PLoS ONE* 13: e0189729 /10.1371/journal.pone.0189729.
9. Santiago-Avila FJ, Cornman AM, and T. A, 2018. Correction: Killing wolves to prevent predation on livestock may protect one farm but harm neighbors. *PLoS ONE* 13: e0209716. 10.1371/journal.pone.0209716.
10. Grente, O., 2021. Présentation des objectifs et de la méthodologie de la thèse sur l'efficacité des tirs de loup et la gestion adaptative du loup, menée conjointement par l'oncfs et le cefe. Gières, France.
11. Teichman et al. 2016. Hunting as a management tool? Cougar-human conflict is positively related to trophy hunting. *BMC Ecology* 16: 44, DOI 10.1186/s12898-12016-10098-12894.
12. Laundré & Papouchis, 2020. The elephant in the room: What can we learn from california regarding the use of sport hunting of pumas (*puma concolor*) as a management tool? *PLoS ONE* 15: e0224638. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0224638>.
13. Peebles, et al. 2013. Effects of remedial sport hunting on cougar complaints and livestock depredations. *PLoS ONE* 8: e79713.
14. Treves et al. 2022. How should scientific review and critique support policy? *PLoS ONE* Comment on Laundré & Papouchis. <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article/comment?id=10.1371/annotation/5bed4c0f-9676-4b24-a598-ea3bb5bbfd80>.
15. Kertson et al. 2022. Human-cougar interactions: A literature review related to common management questions. in *Wildlife, W. D. o. F. a.*, editor, Olympia, Washington, USA,

16. Northrup et al. 2022. Experimental test of the efficacy of hunting for controlling human-wildlife conflict. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 87: e22363. [10.1002/jwmg.22363](https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.22363).
17. Garshelis et al. 2020. Population reduction by hunting helps control human-wildlife conflicts for a species that is a conservation success story. *PLoS ONE* 15: e0237274. [10.1371/journal.pone.0237274](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237274).
18. Conner et al. 1998. Effect of coyote removal on sheep depredation in northern california. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 62: 690-699.
19. Knowlton et al. 1999. Coyote depredation control: An interface between biology and management. *Journal of Range Management* 52: 398-412.
20. Bielby, J., F. Vial, R. Woodroffe, and C. A. Donnelly, 2016. Localised badger culling increases risk of herd breakdown on nearby, not focal, land. *PLoS ONE* 11: e0164618. [10.1371/journal.pone.0164618](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0164618).
21. Donnelly, C., and R. Woodroffe, 2012. Reduce uncertainty in uk badger culling. *Nature* 485: 582.
22. Vial, F., and C. Donnelly, 2012. Localized reactive badger culling increases risk of bovine tuberculosis in nearby cattle herds. *Biology Letters* 8: 50-53.
23. Greentree, C., G. Saunders, L. McLeod, and J. Hone, 2000. Lamb predation and fox control in south-eastern australia. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 37: 935-943.
24. Oreskes, N., 2019. *Why trust science?* Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
25. Treves, A., and J. T. Bruskotter, 2014. Tolerance for predatory wildlife. *Science* 344: 476-477.
26. Treves, A., K. A. Artelle, and P. C. Paquet, 2018. Differentiating between regulation and hunting as conservation interventions. *Conservation Biology* 33: 472-475. DOI:10.1111/cobi.13211.
27. Treves, A., M. Krofel, O. Ohrens, and L. M. Van Eeden, 2019. Predator control needs a standard of unbiased randomized experiments with cross-over design. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 7 402-413. [10.3389/fevo.2019.00462](https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2019.00462).
28. Treves, A., and F. J. Santiago-Ávila, 2020. Myths and assumptions about human-wildlife conflict and coexistence. *Conservation Biology* 34: 811-818. [10.1111/cobi.13472](https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13472).
29. Treves, A., P. C. Paquet, K. A. Artelle, A. M. Cornman, M. Krofel, and C. T. Darimont, 2021. Transparency about values and assertions of fact in natural resource management. *Frontiers in Conservation Science: Human-Wildlife Dynamics* 2: e631998. [10.3389/fcosc.2021.631998](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcosc.2021.631998).
30. Treves, A., and L. M. Elbroch, 2022. Does killing wild carnivores raise risk for domestic animals? *Wild Felid Monitor* in press. <https://www.wildfelid.org/monitor.php>
31. Treves, A., and L. Menefee, 2023. Adverse effects of hunting with hounds on participating animals and human bystanders *bioRxiv*, <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.08.16.504031v2>.
32. Treves, A., and F. J. Santiago-Ávila, 2023. Estimating wolf abundance with unverified methods. *Academia Biology* 1: <https://doi.org/10.20935/AcadBiol26099>. [10.20935/AcadBiol6099](https://doi.org/10.20935/AcadBiol6099).
33. Treves, A., A. R. Fergus, S. J. Hermanstorfer, N. X. Louchouart, O. Ohrens, and A. A. Pineda Guerrero, 2023 pre-print posted for pre-publication review. Gold-standard experiments to deter predators from attacking livestock., <https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/10.31220/agriRxiv.2023.00196>.
34. Treves, A., J. T. Bruskotter, and L. M. Elbroch, 2024. Evaluating fact claims accompanying policies to liberalize the killing of wolves, peer-reviewed chapter TBA peer-reviewed chapter TBA In press. Alpha Wildlife Publications, Canada. https://faculty.nelson.wisc.edu/treves/pubs/Evaluate%20fact%20claims%20about%20killing%20wolves_2024.pdf
35. Fergus, A. R., S. j. Hermanstorfer, and A. Treves, pre-print posted for pre-publication review. Combining two non-lethal methods in crossover design randomized

- experiments. Agrirxiv, <https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/10.31220/agriRxiv.2023.00203>.
36. Agan, S. W., Treves, A., Willey, E.L., 2021a. Majority positive attitudes cannot protect red wolves (*Canis rufus*) from a minority willing to kill illegally. *Biol. Conserv.* 109321. DOI 10.1016
 37. Agan, S.W., Treves, A., Willey, E.L., 2021b. Estimating poaching risk for the critically endangered wild red wolf (*Canis rufus*). *PLoS One* 16, e0244261. 10.1371.
 38. Naughton-Treves, L., R. Grossberg, and A. Treves, 2003. Paying for tolerance: The impact of livestock depredation and compensation payments on rural citizens' attitudes toward wolves. *Conservation Biology* 17: 1500-1511.,
 39. Browne-Nuñez, C., Treves, A., Macfarland, D., Voyles, Z., Turng, C., 2015. Tolerance of wolves in Wisconsin: A mixed-methods examination of policy effects on attitudes and behavioral inclinations. *Biol. Conserv.* 189, 59–71.
 40. Chapron, G., Treves, A., 2016a. Blood does not buy goodwill: allowing culling increases poaching of a large carnivore. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 283, 20152939.
 41. Chapron, G., Treves, A., 2016b. Correction to 'Blood does not buy goodwill: allowing culling increases poaching of a large carnivore'. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 283, 20162577.
 42. Chapron, G., Treves, A., 2017a. Reply to comment by Pepin et al. 2017. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 2016257, 20162571.
 43. Chapron, G., Treves, A., 2017b. Reply to comments by Olson et al. 2017 and Stien 2017. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 284, 20171743.
 44. Hogberg, J., Treves, A., Shaw, B., Naughton-Treves, L., 2015. Changes in attitudes toward wolves before and after an inaugural public hunting and trapping season: early evidence from Wisconsin's wolf range. *Environ. Conserv.* 43, 45-55.
 45. Louchouart, N.X., Santiago-Ávila, F.J., Parsons, D.R., Treves, A., 2021. Evaluating how lethal management affects poaching of Mexican wolves (registered report). *Royal Society Open Science* 8, 200330.
 46. Louchouart, N. X., 2023. Don't judge the roar by its echo: Tests of assumptions, tools and policies for human-carnivore coexistence in North America. University of Wisconsin-Madison.
 47. Santiago-Ávila, F.J., Chappell, R.J., Treves, A., 2020. Liberalizing the killing of endangered wolves was associated with more disappearances of collared individuals in Wisconsin, USA. *Scientific Reports* 10, 13881. /10.1038
 48. Santiago-Ávila, F.J., Treves, A., 2022. Poaching of protected wolves fluctuated seasonally and with non-wolf hunting. *Scientific Reports* 12, e1738 10.1038/s41598-022-05679-w
 49. Treves, A., Artelle, K.A., Darimont, C.T., Parsons, D.R., 2017a. Mismeasured mortality: correcting estimates of wolf poaching in the United States. *J. Mammal.* 98, 1256–1264. 10.1093/jmammal/gyx052
 50. Treves, A., Bruskotter, J.T., 2014. Tolerance for predatory wildlife. *Science* 344, 476-477.
 51. Treves, A., Langenberg, J.A., López-Bao, J.V., Rabenhorst, M.F., 2017b. Gray wolf mortality patterns in Wisconsin from 1979 to 2012. *J. Mammal.* 98, 17-32. 10.1093/jmammal/gyw145
 52. Treves, A., Naughton-Treves, L., Shelley, V.S., 2013. Longitudinal analysis of attitudes toward wolves. *Conserv. Biol.* 27, 315–323.
 53. Linnell, J. D., E. Kovtun, and I. Rouart, 2021. Wolf attacks on humans: An update for 2002–2020. Norwegian Institute for Nature Research,
 54. Raynor, J.L., Grainger, C.A., Parker, D.P., 2021. Wolves make roadways safer, generating large economic returns to predator conservation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 118, e2023251118.

55. Waller, D. M., and N. J. Reo, 2018. First stewards: Ecological outcomes of forest and wildlife stewardship by indigenous peoples of Wisconsin, USA. *Ecology and Society* 23: 45-60. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-09865-230145>
56. Callan, R., N. P. Nibbelink, T. P. Rooney, J. E. Wiedenhoeff, and A. Wydeven, 2013. Recolonizing wolves trigger a trophic cascade in wisconsin (USA). *Journal of Ecology* 101: 837-845 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12095>.
57. Duffield & Neher, 1996. Economics of wolf recovery in yellowstone national park. *Transactions of the North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference* 61: 285-292.
58. Duffield et al. 2008. Wolf recovery in yellowstone: Park visitor attitudes, expenditures, and economic impacts. *George Wright Forum* 25: 13-19.

Mark Elbroch
Literature Cited

1. Brodie J, Johnson H, Mitchell M, Zager P, Proffitt K, Hebblewhite M et al. (2013) Relative influence of human harvest, carnivores, and weather on adult female elk survival across western North America. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 50: 295-305.
2. Brodie JF, Redford KH, Doak DF, Brodie JF (2018) Ecological function analysis: incorporating species roles into conservation. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 33: 840-850.
3. Clark TJ, Hebblewhite M (2020) Predator control may not increase ungulate populations in the future: a formal meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 58: 812-824.
4. Festa-Bianchet M, Coulson T, Gaillard JM, Hogg JT, Pelletier F (2006) Stochastic predation events and population persistence in bighorn sheep. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 273: 1537-1543.
5. Forrester TD, Wittmer HU (2013) A review of the population dynamics of mule deer and black-tailed deer *Odocoileus hemionus* in North America. *Mammal Review* 43: 292-308.
6. Gilbert SL, Sivy KJ, Pozzanghera CB, DuBour A, Overduijn K, Smith MM, Zhou J, Little JM, Prugh LR (2017) Socioeconomic benefits of large carnivore recolonization through reduced wildlife-vehicle collisions. *Conservation Letters* 10: 430-438.
7. Hurley MA, Unsworth JW, Zager P, Hebblewhite M, Garton EO, Montgomery DM, Skalski JR, Maycock CL (2011) Demographic response of mule deer to experimental reduction of coyotes and mountain lions in southeastern Idaho. *Wildlife Monographs* 178: 1-33.
8. LaBarge L, Evans MJ, Miller JRB, Cannataro G, Hunt C, Flemming E, Elbroch LM. 2022. Pumas as ecological brokers: a review of their biotic relationships. *Mammal Review* 52: 360-376.
9. Proffitt KM, Garrott R, Gude JA, Hebblewhite M, Jimenez B, Paterson JT, Rotella J (2020) Integrated carnivore-ungulate management: a case study in west-central Montana. *Wildlife Monographs* 206: 1-28.
10. Wittmer HU, Hasenbank M, Elbroch LM, Marshall AJ (2014) Incorporating preferential prey selection and stochastic predation into population viability analysis for rare prey species. *Biological Conservation* 172: 8-14.

Bridgett vonHoldt

Literature Cited (two new papers)

<https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.02.18.481090v1>

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/373735045_Demographic_history_shapes_North_American_gray_wolf_genomic_diversity_and_informs_species_conservation

Naomi Louchouart Literature Cited

- Barnes, M. Livestock management for coexistence with large carnivores, healthy land and productive ranches. *Keystone Conservation* (2015).
- Boronyak, L. et al. Pathways towards coexistence with large carnivores in production systems. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 1-18 (2021).
- Eklund A, López-Bao JV, Tourani M, Chapron G, Frank J. Limited evidence on the effectiveness of interventions to reduce livestock predation by large carnivores. *Scientific Reports*. 2017;7(1):2097. pmid:28522834
- Fergus AR, Hermanstorfer SJ and Treves A. 2023 (Pre-Print). Combining two non-lethal methods in crossover design randomized experiments. <https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/10.31220/agriRxiv.2023.00203>
- Flörcke, C. & Grandin, T. Loss of anti-predator behaviors in cattle and the increased predation losses by wolves in the Northern Rocky Mountains. *Open Journal of Animal Sciences* 3, 248 (2013).
- Hibbard, W. Bud Williams' low stress livestock handling. *Stockmanship Journal* 1, 6-163 (2012).
- Khorozyan, I. & Waltert, M. Variation and conservation implications of the effectiveness of anti-bear interventions. *Scientific reports* 10, 1-9 (2020).
- Khorozyan, I. & Waltert, M. A global view on evidence-based effectiveness of interventions used to protect livestock from wild cats. *Conservation Science and Practice* 3, e317 (2021).
- Khorozyan, I., Ghoddousi, S., Soufi, M., Soofi, M. & Waltert, M. Studded leather collars are very effective in protecting cattle from leopard (*Panthera pardus*) attacks. *Ecological Solutions and Evidence* 1, e12013 (2020).
- Louchouart NX, Treves A. 2023. Low-stress livestock handling protects cattle in a five-predator habitat. *PeerJ* 11: e14788.
- Miller JRB, Stoner KJ, Cejtin MR, Meyer TK, Middleton AD, Schmitz OJ. Effectiveness of contemporary techniques for reducing livestock depredations by large carnivores. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*. 2016;40(4):806–15.
- Much, R. M., Breck, S. W., Lance, N. J. & Callahan, P. An ounce of prevention: Quantifying the effects of non-lethal tools on wolf behavior. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 203, 73-80 (2018).
- Musiani, M. et al. Wolf depredation trends and the use of fladry barriers to protect livestock in western North America. *Conservation Biology* 17, 1538-1547 (2003).
- Parks, M. & Messmer, T. Participant perceptions of Range Rider Programs operating to mitigate wolf–livestock conflicts in the western United States. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 40, 514-524 (2016).
- Radford, C., McNutt, J. W., Rogers, T., Maslen, B. & Jordan, N. Artificial eyespots on cattle reduce predation by large carnivores. *Communications biology* 3, 1-8 (2020).
- Scasta, J., Stam, B. & Windh, J. Rancher-reported efficacy of lethal and non-lethal livestock predation mitigation strategies for a suite of carnivores. *Scientific reports* 7, 1-11 (2017).

- Stone, S. A. et al. Adaptive use of nonlethal strategies for minimizing wolf–sheep conflict in Idaho. *Journal of Mammalogy* 98, 33-44 (2017).
- Treves A, Fergus AR, Hermanstorfer SJ, Louchouart NX, Ohrens O and Pineda-Guerrero A. 2023 (Pre-print) Gold-standard experiments to deter predators from attacking livestock. <https://www.cabidigitallibrary.org/doi/abs/10.31220/agriRxiv.2023.00196>
- Treves A, Krofel M, McManus J. Predator control should not be a shot in the dark. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*. 2016;14(7):1–9.
- Treves, A., Krofel, M., Ohrens, O. & van Eeden, L. M. Predator control needs a standard of unbiased randomized experiments with cross-over design. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 7, 462 (2019).
- Van Eeden, L. M., Eklund, A., Miller, J. R., López-Bao, J. V., Chapron, G., Cejtin, M. R., Crowther, M. S., Dickman, C. R., Frank, J. and Krofel, M. (2018) 'Carnivore conservation needs evidence-based livestock protection', *PLoS biology*, 16(9), pp. e2005577.
- van Eeden LM, Crowther MS, Dickman CR, Macdonald DW, Ripple WJ, Ritchie EG, et al. Managing conflict between large carnivores and livestock. *Conservation Biology*. 2018;32(1):26–34. pmid:28556528
- Wilson, S. M., Bradley, E. H. & Neudecker, G. A. Learning to live with wolves: community-based conservation in the Blackfoot Valley of Montana. *Human–Wildlife Interactions* 11, 4 (2017).
- Young, J. K., Hammill, E. & Breck, S. W. Interactions with humans shape coyote responses to hazing. *Scientific reports* 9, 1-9 (2019).
- Zaranek, H. Stockmanship and Livestock Predation Mitigation. *Stockmanship Journal* 5, 34-46 (2016).

Jeremy Bruskotter

Literature Cited

- - Albarracin, D., Johnson, B. T., & Zanna, M. P. (2014). *The handbook of attitudes*: Psychology Press.
 - Alexander, J. S., Agvaantseren, B., Gongor, E., Mijiddorj, T. N., Piaopiao, T., Redpath, S., . . . Mishra, C. (2021). Assessing the effectiveness of a community-based livestock insurance program. *Environmental Management*, 68(1), 87-99.
 - Bauer, H., Müller, L., Van Der Goes, D., & Sillero-Zubiri, C. (2017). Financial compensation for damage to livestock by lions *Panthera leo* on community rangelands in Kenya. *Oryx*, 51(1), 106-114. doi:10.1017/s003060531500068x
 - Bickel, A. K., Duval, D., & Frisvold, G. (2020). Paying for Prevention: Evaluating Arizona Rancher Spending to Avoid or Reduce Livestock Conflicts with the Mexican Gray Wolf. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Vertebrate Pest Conference.
 - Bornmann, L., Haunschild, R., & Mutz, R. (2021). Growth rates of modern science: a latent piecewise growth curve approach to model publication numbers from established and new literature databases. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 8(1), 1-15. doi:doi:10.1057/s41599-021-00903-w
 - Brenner, L. J., & Metcalf, E. C. (2020). Beyond the tolerance/intolerance dichotomy: incorporating attitudes and acceptability into a robust definition of social tolerance of wildlife. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife*, 25(3), 259-267.

- Bright, A. D., & Manfredi, M. J. (1996). A conceptual model of attitudes toward natural resource issues: A case study of wolf reintroduction. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife*, 1, 1-21.
- Bronfman, N. C., & Vazquez, E. L. (2011). A Cross-Cultural Study of Perceived Benefit Versus Risk as Mediators in the Trust-Acceptance Relationship. *Risk Analysis*, 31, 1919-1934.
- Browne-Nuñez, C., Treves, A., MacFarland, D., Voyles, Z., & Turng, C. (2015). Tolerance of wolves in Wisconsin: a mixed-methods examination of policy effects on attitudes and behavioral inclinations. *Biological Conservation*, 189, 59-71.
- Bruskotter, J. T., & Fulton, D. C. (2012). Will Hunters Steward Wolves? A Comment on Treves and Martin. *Society and Natural Resources*, 25, 97-102. doi:10.1080/08941920.2011.622735
- Bruskotter, J. T., Schmidt, R. H., & Teel, T. L. (2007). Are attitudes toward wolves changing? A case study in Utah. *Biological Conservation*, 139, 211-218. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2007.06.016
- Bruskotter, J. T., Singh, A., Fulton, D. C., & Slagle, K. M. (2015). Assessing Tolerance for Wildlife: Clarifying Relations Between Concepts and Measures. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife*, 20(3), 255-270. doi:10.1080/10871209.2015.1016387
- Bruskotter, J. T., Toman, E., Enzler, S. A., & Schmidt, R. H. (2010). Are Gray Wolves Endangered in the Northern Rocky Mountains? A Role for Social Science in Endangered Species Listing Determinations. *BioScience*, 60, 941-948.
- Bruskotter, J. T., Vaske, J. J., & Schmidt, R. H. (2009). Social and cognitive correlates of Utah residents' acceptance of the lethal control of wolves. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife*, 14, 119-132. doi:10.1080/10871200802712571
- Bruskotter, J. T., Vucetich, J. A., Dietsch, A., Slagle, K. M., Brooks, J. S., & Nelson, M. P. (2019). Conservationists' moral obligations toward wildlife: Values and identity promote conservation conflict. *Biological Conservation*, 240. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2019.108296
- Bruskotter, J. T., Vucetich, J. A., Enzler, S., Treves, A., & Nelson, M. P. (2013). Removing protections for wolves and the future of the U.S. Endangered Species Act (1973). *Conservation Letters*, DOI: 10.1111/conl.12081.
- Bruskotter, J. T., Vucetich, J. A., Manfredi, M. J., Karns, G. R., Wolf, C., Ard, K., . . . Ripple, W. J. (2017). Modernization, Risk, and Conservation of the World's Largest Carnivores. *BioScience*, 67, 646-655. doi:10.1093/biosci/bix049
- Bruskotter, J. T., Vucetich, J. A., Slagle, K. M., Berardo, R., Singh, A. S., & Wilson, R. S. (2018). Support for the U.S. Endangered Species Act over time and space: Controversial species do not weaken public support for protective legislation. *Conservation Letters*, 11. doi:10.1111/conl.12595
- Bruskotter, J. T., & Wilson, R. S. (2014). Determining where the wild things will be: Using psychological theory to find tolerance for large carnivores. *Conservation Letters*, 7, 158-165. doi:10.1111/conl.12072
- Carlson, S. C., Dietsch, A. M., Slagle, K. M., & Bruskotter, J. T. (2020). The VIPs of Wolf Conservation: How Values, Identity, and Place Shape Attitudes Toward Wolves in the United States. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 8. doi:10.3389/fevo.2020.00006
- Carlson, S. C., Dietsch, A. M., Slagle, K. M., & Bruskotter, J. T. (2023). Effect of semantics in the study of tolerance for wolves. *Conservation biology*, 37(2), e14003.

- Carpenter, L. H., Decker, D. J., & Lipscomb, J. F. (2000). Stakeholder Acceptance Capacity in Wildlife management. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife: An International Journal*, 5, 5 - 19.
- Carter, N. H., & Linnell, J. D. C. (2016). Co-adaptation is key to coexisting with large carnivores. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 31, 575-578.
- Carter, N. H., Riley, S. J., & Liu, J. (2012). Utility of a psychological framework for carnivore conservation. *Oryx*(1-11).
- Chapron, G., & López-Bao, J. V. (2020). The place of nature in conservation conflicts. *Conservation Biology*, 34(4), 795-802.
- Chapron, G., & Treves, A. (2016). Blood does not buy goodwill: allowing culling increases poaching of a large carnivore. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 283(1830), 20152939.
- Chapron, G., & Treves, A. (2017). Reply to comment by Pepin et al. 2017. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 284, 20162571. doi:doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.2571
- Clayton, S., Litchfield, C., & Geller, E. S. (2013). Psychological science, conservation, and environmental sustainability. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 11(7), 377-382. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1890/120351>
- Daniels, S. E., & Walker, G. B. (2001). *Working through environmental conflict*. Westport: Praeger.
- Decker, D. J., Jacobson, C. A., & Brown, T. L. (2006). Situation-Specific "Impact Dependency" as a Determinant of Management Acceptability: Insights From Wolf and Grizzly Bear Management in Alaska. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 34(2), 426-432.
- Decker, D. J., & Purdy, K. G. (1988). Toward A Concept of Wildlife Acceptance Capacity in Wildlife Management. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 16(1), 53-57.
- DeMotts, R., & Hoon, P. (2012). Whose elephants? Conserving, compensating, and competing in northern Botswana. *Society & Natural Resources*, 25(9), 837-851.
- Dickman, A. J., Macdonald, E. A., & Macdonald, D. W. (2011). A review of financial instruments to pay for predator conservation and encourage human–carnivore coexistence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(34), 13937-13944.
- Dietsch, A. M., Teel, T. L., & Manfredo, M. J. (2016). Social values and biodiversity conservation in a dynamic world. *Conservation Biology*, 30(6), 1212-1221.
- Dressel, S., Sandström, C., & Ericsson, G. (2015). A meta-analysis of studies on attitudes toward bears and wolves across Europe 1976–2012. *Conservation Biology*, 29(2), 565-574.
- Duffield, J. W., Neher, C. J., & Patterson, D. A. (2008). Wolf Recovery in Yellowstone: Park Visitor Attitudes, Expenditures, and Economic Impacts. *George Wright Forum*, 25, 13-19.
- Díaz, S., Settele, J., Brondízio, E. S., Ngo, H. T., Agard, J., Arneth, A., . . . Chan, K. M. (2019). Pervasive human-driven decline of life on Earth points to the need for transformative change. *Science*, 366(6471), eaax3100.
- Eagly, A. H., & Chaiken, S. (1993). *The psychology of attitudes*. Fort Worth, TX: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich College Publishers.

- Eklund, A., López-Bao, J. V., Tourani, M., Chapron, G., & Frank, J. (2017). Limited evidence on the effectiveness of interventions to reduce livestock predation by large carnivores. *Scientific reports*, 7(1), 1-9.
- Ellingwood, M., & Spignesi, J. (1986). Management of an urban deer herd and the concept of cultural carrying capacity. *Transactions of the Northeast Deer Technical Committee*, 22, 42-45.
- Epstein, Y. (2017). Killing wolves to save them? Legal responses to 'tolerance hunting' in the European Union and United States. *Review of European, Comparative & International Environmental Law*, 26(1), 19-29.
- Ericsson, G., & Heberlein, T. A. (2003). Attitudes of hunters, locals, and the general public in Sweden now that the wolves are back. *Biological Conservation*, 111, 149-159.
- Frank, B. (2016). Human–wildlife conflicts and the need to include tolerance and coexistence: An introductory comment. *Society & Natural Resources*, 29(6), 738-743.
- Frederick, A. N. (2019). An Evaluation of Social, Ecological and Administrative Factors that Influence the Effectiveness of Wildlife Damages Compensation Programs. University of California at Berkeley. Retrieved from https://nature.berkeley.edu/classes/es196/projects/2019final/FrederickA_2019.pdf
- Fritts, S. H., Bangs, E. E., Fontaine, J. A., Johnson, M. R., Phillips, M. K., Koch, E. D., & Gunson, J. R. (1997). Planning and Implementing a Reintroduction of Wolves to Yellowstone National Park and Central Idaho. *Restoration Ecology*, 5, 7-27.
- George, K. A., Slagle, K. M., Wilson, R. S., Moeller, S. J., & Bruskotter, J. T. (2016). Changes in attitudes toward animals in the United States from 1978 to 2014. *Biological Conservation*, 201, 237-242. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2016.07.013
- Gilbert, S. L., Sivy, K. J., Pozzanghera, C. B., DuBour, A., Overduijn, K., Smith, M. M., . . . Prugh, L. R. (2016). Socioeconomic Benefits of Large Carnivore Recolonization Through Reduced Wildlife-Vehicle Collisions. *Conservation Letters*, 10(4), 431-439. doi:10.1111/conl.12280
- Gore, M. L., Wilson, R. S., Siemer, W. F., Wieczorek Hudenko, H., Clarke, C. E., Sol Hart, P., . . . Muter, B. A. (2009). Application of risk concepts to wildlife management: Special issue introduction. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife*, 14(5), 301-313.
- Hazzah, L., Dolrenry, S., Naughton, L., Edwards, C. T. T., Mwebi, O., Kearney, F., & Frank, L. (2014). Efficacy of Two Lion Conservation Programs in Maasailand, Kenya. *Conservation Biology*, 28(3), 851-860. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12244>
- Heberlein, T. A., & Ericsson, G. (2008). Public attitudes and the future of wolves *Canis lupus* in Sweden. *Wildlife Biology*, 14, 391-394.
- Hogberg, J., Treves, A., Shaw, B., & Naughton-Treves, L. (2016). Changes in attitudes toward wolves before and after an inaugural public hunting and trapping season: early evidence from Wisconsin's wolf range. *Environmental Conservation*, 43(1), 45-55.
- Hogg, M. A., Abrams, D., & Brewer, M. B. (2017). Social identity: The role of self in group processes and intergroup relations. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 20(5), 570-581.
- Hogg, M. A., & Reid, S. A. (2006). Social identity, self-categorization, and the communication of group norms. *Communication theory*, 16(1), 7-30.

- Hornsey, M. J. (2008). Social identity theory and self-categorization theory: A historical review. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 2(1), 204-222.
- Jacobs, M. H., Vaske, J. J., & Sijtsma, M. T. (2014). Predictive potential of wildlife value orientations for acceptability of management interventions. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 22(4), 377-383.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk. *Econometrica*, 47, 263-292.
- Kansky, R., Kidd, M., & Knight, A. T. (2014). Meta-Analysis of Attitudes toward Damage-Causing Mammalian Wildlife. *Conservation Biology*, 28, 924-938. doi:10.1111/cobi.12275
- Kansky, R., Kidd, M., & Knight, A. T. (2016). A wildlife tolerance model and case study for understanding human wildlife conflicts. *Biological Conservation*, 201, 137-145.
- Karlsson, J., & Sjöström, M. (2007). Human attitudes towards wolves, a matter of distance. *Biological Conservation*, 137, 610-616.
- Kellert, S. R. (1985). Public Perceptions of Predators, Particularly the Wolf and Coyote. *Biological Conservation*, 31, 167-189.
- Kissui, B. M. (2008). Livestock predation by lions, leopards, spotted hyenas, and their vulnerability to retaliatory killing in the Maasai steppe, Tanzania. *Animal Conservation*, 11(5), 422-432.
- Knox, J., Ruppert, K., Frank, B., Sponarski, C. C., & Glikman, J. A. (2020). Usage, definition, and measurement of coexistence, tolerance and acceptance in wildlife conservation research in Africa. *Ambio*. doi:10.1007/s13280-020-01352-6
- Krange, O., & Skogen, K. (2011). When the lads go hunting: The 'Hammertown mechanism' and the conflict over wolves in Norway. *Ethnography*, 12, 466-489.
- Lamb, C. T., Ford, A. T., McLellan, B. N., Proctor, M. F., Mowat, G., Ciarniello, L., . . . Boutin, S. (2020). The ecology of human–carnivore coexistence. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(30), 17876-17883.
- LeFlore, E. G., Fuller, T. K., Tomeletso, M., Dimbindo, T. C., & Stein, A. B. (2020). Human dimensions of human–lion conflict: a pre- and post-assessment of a lion conservation programme in the Okavango Delta, Botswana. *Environmental Conservation*, 47(3), 182-189. doi:10.1017/s0376892920000120
- Liberg, O., Chapron, G., Wabakken, P., Pedersen, H. C., Hobbs, N. T., & Sand, H. k. (2012). Shoot, shovel and shut up: cryptic poaching slows restoration of a large carnivore in Europe. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 279, 910-915. doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.1275
- Liberg, O., Suutarinen, J., Åkesson, M., Andrén, H., Wabakken, P., Wikenros, C., & Sand, H. (2020). Poaching-related disappearance rate of wolves in Sweden was positively related to population size and negatively to legal culling. *Biological Conservation*, 243, 108456.
- Lischka, S. A., Teel, T. L., Johnson, H. E., & Crooks, K. R. (2019). Understanding and managing human tolerance for a large carnivore in a residential system. *Biological Conservation*, 238, 108189.
- Louchouart, N. X., Santiago-Ávila, F. J., Parsons, D. R., & Treves, A. (2021). Evaluating how lethal management affects poaching of Mexican wolves. *Royal Society open science*, 8(3), 200330.
- Lute, M. L., Bump, A., & Gore, M. L. (2014). Identity-driven differences in stakeholder concerns about hunting wolves. *PloS one*, 9, e114460.

- Lute, M. L., & Gore, M. L. (2019). Broadening the Aperture on Coexistence with Wildlife through the Lenses of Identity, Risk and Morals. *Human–Wildlife Interactions: Turning Conflict into Coexistence*, 23, 45.
- Macon, D. (2020). Paying for the presence of predators: An evolving approach to compensating ranchers. *Rangelands*, 42(2), 43-52.
- Madden, F., & McQuinn, B. (2014). Conservation’s blind spot: the case for conflict transformation in wildlife conservation. *Biological Conservation*, 178, 97-106.
- Majic, A., & Bath, A. J. (2010). Changes in attitudes toward wolves in Croatia. *Biological Conservation*, 143, 255-260.
- Manfredo, M. J. (2008). *Who Cares About Wildlife? Social Science Concepts for Exploring Human-Wildlife Relationships and Conservation Issues*. New York: Springer Science.
- Manfredo, M. J., Berl, R. E. W., Teel, T. L., & Bruskotter, J. T. (2021). Bringing social values to wildlife conservation decisions. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 19(6), 355-362.
- Manfredo, M. J., Teel, T. L., Don Carlos, A. W., Sullivan, L., Bright, A. D., Dietsch, A. M., . . . Fulton, D. (2020). The changing sociocultural context of wildlife conservation. *Conservation Biology*. doi:10.1111/cobi.13493
- Manfredo, M. J., Teel, T. L., & Henry, K. L. (2009). Linking Society and Environment: A Multilevel Model of Shifting Wildlife Value Orientations in the Western United States^{*}. *Social Science Quarterly*, 90, 407-427.
- Manfredo, M. J., Teel, T. L., Sullivan, L., & Dietsch, A. M. (2017). Values, trust, and cultural backlash in conservation governance: the case of wildlife management in the United States. *Biological Conservation*, 214, 303-311.
- Marino, F., Kansky, R., Shivji, I., Di Croce, A., Ciucci, P., & Knight, A. T. (2021). Understanding drivers of human tolerance to gray wolves and brown bears as a strategy to improve landholder–carnivore coexistence. *Conservation Science and Practice*, 3(3), e265.
- McKenzie-Mohr, D., Lee, N. R., Schultz, W. P., & Kotler, P. A. (2011). *Social marketing to protect the environment: What works*: Sage Publications Inc.
- McKenzie-Mohr, D., & Schultz, W. P. (2014). Choosing Effective Behavior Change Tools. *Social Marketing Quarterly*, 20(1), 35-46. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1524500413519257>
- Mech, L. D., Fritts, S. H., Wydeven, A. P., Heberlein, T. A., Bangs, E. E., Craven, S., . . . Merrill, E. (2015, November 18). Letter to Interior Secretary Sally Jewell. [Letter]. mlive.com.
- Milheiras, S., & Hodge, I. (2011). Attitudes towards compensation for wolf damage to livestock in Viana do Castelo, North of Portugal. *The European Journal of Social Science Research*, 24(3), 333-351. doi:Doi: 10.1080/13511610.2011.592071
- Mincher, B. J. (2002). Harvest as a component of Greater Yellowstone Ecosystem grizzly bear management. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 1287-1292.
- Morehouse, A. T., Tigner, J., & Boyce, M. S. (2018). Coexistence with large carnivores supported by a predator-compensation program. *Environmental management*, 61(5), 719-731.
- Muth, R. M. (1998). The persistence of poaching in advanced industrial society: Meanings and motivations—An introductory comment.

- Naughton-Treves, L., Grossberg, R., & Treves, A. (2003). Paying for tolerance: The impact of livestock depredation and compensation payments on rural citizens' attitudes toward wolves. *Conservation Biology*, 17, 1500-1511.
- Naughton-Treves, L., & Treves, A. (2005). Socio-ecological factors shaping local support for wildlife: crop-raiding by elephants and other wildlife in Africa. In R. Woodroffe, S. Thirgood, & A. Rabinowitz (Eds.), *People and Wildlife, Conflict or Coexistence?* (pp. 253-277). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Nie, M. A. (2003). *Beyond wolves: the politics of wolf recovery and management*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.
- Nyhus, P. J. (2016). Human–Wildlife Conflict and Coexistence. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 41, 143-171. doi:10.1146/annurev-environ-110615-085634
- Nyhus, P. J., Osofsky, S. A., Ferraro, P., Madden, F., & Fischer, H. (2005). Bearing the costs of human-wildlife conflict: the challenges of compensation schemes (Ch. 7). In R. Woodroffe, S. Thirgood, & A. Rabinowitz (Eds.), *People and Wildlife: Conflict or Coexistence?* (pp. 207-121). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Olson, E. R., Crimmins, S. M., Beyer Jr, D. E., MacNulty, D. R., Patterson, B. R., Rudolph, B. A., . . . Van Deelen, T. R. (2017). Flawed analysis and unconvincing interpretation: a comment on Chapron and Treves 2016. 284, 20170273. doi:doi:10.1098/rspb.2017.0273
- Olson, E. R., Stenglein, J. L., Shelley, V., Rissman, A. R., Browne-Nuñez, C., Voyles, Z., . . . Van Deelen, T. (2015). Pendulum swings in wolf management led to conflict, illegal kills, and a legislated wolf hunt. *Conservation Letters*, 8(5), 351-360.
- Osbaldiston, R., & Schott, J. P. (2012). Environmental Sustainability and Behavioral Science Meta-Analysis of Proenvironmental Behavior Experiments. *Environment and Behavior*, 44, 257-299.
- Pepin, K. M., Kay, S. L., & Davis, A. J. (2017). Comment on: 'Blood does not buy goodwill: allowing culling increases poaching of a large carnivore'. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 284(1851), 20161459.
- Persson, J., Rauset, G. R., & Chapron, G. (2015). Paying for an Endangered Predator Leads to Population Recovery. *Conservation Letters*, 8(5), 345-350. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12171>
- Petty, R. E., & Krosnick, J. A. (1995). *Attitude Strength: Antecedents and consequences* (Vol. 4). Mahway, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Pooley, S., Bhatia, S., & Vasava, A. (2021). Rethinking the study of human–wildlife coexistence. *Conservation Biology*, 35(3), 784-793.
- Ravenelle, J., & Nyhus, P. J. (2017). Global patterns and trends in human–wildlife conflict compensation. *Conservation Biology*, 31(6), 1247-1256.
- Raynor, J. L., Grainger, C. A., & Parker, D. P. (2021). Wolves make roadways safer, generating large economic returns to predator conservation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(22).
- Refsnider, R. (2009). The Role of the Endangered Species Act in Midwest Wolf Recovery. In A. P. Wydeven, T. R. Van Deelen, & E. H. Heske (Eds.), *Recovery of gray wolves in the Great Lakes region of the United States: An endangered species success story* (pp. Chapter 20). New York: Springer.

- Riley, S. J., & Decker, D. J. (2000). Risk perception as a factor in Wildlife Stakeholder Acceptance Capacity for cougars in montana. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife: An International Journal*, 5, 50 - 62.
- Rollins, K. S., & Briggs, H. C. (1996). Moral Hazard, Externalities, and Compensation for Crop Damages from Wildlife. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 31, 368-386.
- Santiago-Ávila, F. J., Chappell, R. J., & Treves, A. (2020). Liberalizing the killing of endangered wolves was associated with more disappearances of collared individuals in Wisconsin, USA. *Scientific Reports*, 10, 13881. doi:10.1038/s41598-020-70837-x
- Santiago-Ávila, F. J., & Treves, A. (2022). Poaching of protected wolves fluctuated seasonally and with non-wolf hunting. *Scientific reports*, 12(1), 1-10.
- Schroeder, S. A., Fulton, D. C., Cornicelli, L., & Bruskotter, J. T. (2018). How Minnesota wolf hunter and trapper attitudes and risk- and benefit-based beliefs predict wolf management preferences. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife*, 23, 552-568. doi:10.1080/10871209.2018.1511876
- Schultz, P. W. (2014). Strategies for Promoting Proenvironmental Behavior. *European Psychologist*, 19(2), 107-117. doi:10.1027/1016-9040/a000163
- Schwerdtner, K., & Gruber, B. (2007). A conceptual framework for damage compensation schemes. *Biological Conservation*, 134(3), 354-360. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2006.08.010>
- Sherif, M. (1958). Superordinate goals in the reduction of intergroup conflict. *American journal of Sociology*, 63(4), 349-356.
- Skogen, K., & Krange, O. (2003). A Wolf at the Gate: The Anti-Carnivore Alliance and the Symbolic Construction of Community - Skogen - 2003 - Sociologia Ruralis - Wiley Online Library. *Sociologia Ruralis*, 43(3), 309-325. doi:10.1111/1467-9523.00247
- Skogen, K., Krange, O., & Figari, H. (2017). *Wolf conflicts: a sociological study (Vol. 1)*: Berghahn Books.
- Slagle, K. M., & Bruskotter, J. T. (2019). Tolerance for Wildlife: A Psychological Perspective. In B. F. Frank, J. A. Glikman, & S. Marchini (Eds.), *Human-wildlife Interactions: Turning Conflict Into Coexistence* (pp. 85-106). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Slagle, K. M., Zajac, R. M., Bruskotter, J. T., Wilson, R. S., & Prange, S. (2013). Building Tolerance for Bears: A Communications Experiment. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 77, 863-869. doi:10.1002/jwmg.515
- Sponarski, C. C., Miller, C. A., Vaske, J. J., & Spacapan, M. R. (2016). Modeling perceived risk from coyotes among Chicago residents. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife*, 21(6), 491-505.
- Stien, A. (2017). Blood may buy goodwill: no evidence for a positive relationship between legal culling and poaching in Wisconsin. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 284(1867), 20170267.
- Straka, T. M., Miller, K. K., & Jacobs, M. H. (2020). Understanding the acceptability of wolf management actions: roles of cognition and emotion. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife*, 25(1), 33-46.
- Suutarinen, J., & Kojola, I. (2017). Poaching regulates the legally hunted wolf population in Finland. *Biological Conservation*, 215, 11-18.

- Suutarinen, J., & Kojola, I. (2018). One way or another: predictors of wolf poaching in a legally harvested wolf population. *Animal Conservation*, 21(5), 414-422.
- Tajfel, H. (1982). Social psychology of intergroup relations. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 33, 1-39.
- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An integrative theory of intergroup conflict. *The social psychology of intergroup relations*, 33, 47.
- Teel, T. L., & Manfredo, M. J. (2010). Understanding the diversity of public interests in wildlife conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 24(1), 128-139.
- Tilman, D., Clark, M., Williams, D. R., Kimmel, K., Polasky, S., & Packer, C. (2017). Future threats to biodiversity and pathways to their prevention. *Nature*, 546(7656), 73-81.
- Treves, A. (2009). Hunting for large carnivore conservation. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 46, 1350-1356.
- Treves, A. (2012). Tolerant Attitudes Reflect an Intent to Steward: A Reply to Bruskotter and Fulton. *Society & Natural Resources*, 25, 103-104.
- Treves, A., & Bruskotter, J. T. (2014). Tolerance for Predatory Wildlife. *Science*, 344, 476-477.
- Treves, A., Jurewicz, R. L., Naughton-Treves, L., & Wilcove, D. (2009). The price of tolerance: Wolf damage payments after recovery. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 18, 4003–4021.
- Treves, A., Louchouart, N. X., & Santiago-Ávila, F. J. (2020). Modelling concerns confound evaluations of legal wolf-killing. *Biological Conservation*, 249, 108643.
- Treves, A., Naughton-Treves, L., & Shelley, V. (2013). Longitudinal Analysis of Attitudes Toward Wolves. *Conservation Biology*, n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/cobi.12009
- Van Eeden, L. M., Eklund, A., Miller, J. R., López-Bao, J. V., Chapron, G., Cejtin, M. R., . . . Krofel, M. (2018). Carnivore conservation needs evidence-based livestock protection. *PLoS biology*, 16(9), e2005577.
- van Eeden, L. M., Newsome, T. M., Crowther, M. S., Dickman, C. R., & Bruskotter, J. (2019). Social identity shapes support for management of wildlife and pests. *Biological Conservation*, 231, 167-173. doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2019.01.012
- Vucetich, J. A., Bruskotter, J. T., Nelson, M. P., Peterson, R. O., & Bump, J. K. (2017). Evaluating the principles of wildlife conservation: A case study of Wolf (*Canis lupus*) hunting in Michigan, United States. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 98, 53-64. doi:10.1093/jmammal/gyw151
- Walpole, M. J., & Thouless, C. R. (2005). non-consumptive use? Deconstructing the. *People and Wildlife, Conflict or Co-existence?*, 9, 122.
- Whitehouse-Tedd, K., Abell, J., & Dunn, A. K. (2021). Evaluation of the use of psychometric scales in human–wildlife interaction research to determine attitudes and tolerance toward wildlife. *Conservation Biology*, 35(2), 533-547. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13599>
- Wiedenhoft, J. E., Walter, S., Gross, M., Kluge, N., McNamara, S., Stauffer, G., . . . Johnson, R. (2020). Wisconsin Gray Wolf Monitoring Report: 15 April 2019 through 14 April 2020. Retrieved from <https://dnr.wisconsin.gov/sites/default/files/topic/WildlifeHabitat/wolfreport2020.pdf>
- Williams, C., Ericsson, G., & Heberlein, T. A. (2002). A quantitative summary of attitudes toward wolves and their reintroduction (1972-2000). *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 30, 575-584.

- Wilson, M. A. (1997). The Wolf in Yellowstone: Science, Symbol, or Politics? Deconstructing the Conflict Between Environmentalism and Wise Use. *Society & Natural Resources*, 10, 453-468.
- Wilson, R. S., & Bruskotter, J. T. (2009). Assessing the Impact of Decision Frame and Existing Attitudes on Support for Wolf Restoration in the United States. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife*, 14, 353-365.
- WWF. (2020). Living Planet Report 2020 - Bending the curve of biodiversity loss. Retrieved from Gland, Switzerland: https://files.worldwildlife.org/wwfcmsprod/files/Publication/file/279c656a32_ENGLISH_FULLL.pdf?_ga=2.85937561.1244151887.1657908518-60981843.1657908518
- Zabel, A., & Holm-Muller, K. (2007). Performance payments for carnivore conservation in Sweden. Retrieved from https://ageconsearch.umn.edu/record/57031/files/disppap07_02.pdf
- Zajac, R. M., Bruskotter, J. T., Wilson, R. S., & Prange, S. (2012). Learning to live with black bears: A psychological model of acceptance. *Journal of Wildlife Management*, 76, 1331-1340. doi:10.1002/jwmg.398